



2007



MUTAH UNIVERSITY

Deanship of Graduate Studies

جامعة مؤتة
عمادة الدراسات العليا

نموذج رقم (14)

إجازة رسالة جامعية

تقرر إجازة الرسالة المقدمة من الطالبة نادية محمد الصقار الموسومة بـ:

مستوى الوعي البيئي لدى طلبة جامعة مؤتة في ضوء بعض المتغيرات
استكمالاً لمتطلبات الحصول على درجة الماجستير في علم النفس التربوي ربوي.
القسم: الإرشاد والتربية الخاصة.

التوقيع	التاريخ	مشتراً ورئيساً
	2007/7/23	د. عماد عبدالرحيم الزغول
	2007/7/23	د. محمد إبراهيم السفاسفة
	2007/7/23	د. محمد حمزة الزيودي
	2007/7/23	د. محمد أحمد الرفوع

عميد الدراسات العليا
أ.د. حسام الدين المبيضين



MUTAH-KARAK-JORDAN

Postal Code: 61710

TEL :03/2372380-99

Ext. 5328-5330

FAX:03/ 2375694

e-mail:

dgs@mutah.edu.jo

sedgs@mutah.edu.jo

http://www.mutah.edu.jo/gradest/derasat.htm

مؤتة - الكرك - الأردن

الرمز البريدي: 61710

تلفون: 03/2372380-99

فرعي 5328-5330

فاكس 03/2 375694

البريد الإلكتروني

الصفحة الإلكترونية

...

...

.

. ()

.

.

.

.

	:	
1		1.1
4		2.1
5		3.1
6		4.1
6		5.1
7	:	
7		1.2
7		1.1.2
9		2.1.2
11		3.1.2
13		4.1.2
15		5.1.2
16		2.2
17		1.2.2

23		2.2.2
24		3.2.2
24		4.2.2
27		5.2.2
29		3.2
29		1.3.2
33		2.3.2
37	:	
37		1.3
37		2.3
41		3.3
41		4.3
47		5.3
42	:	
57	:	
57		1.5
61		1.5
62		
67		

43

.

1

46

2

.

49

3

.

51

4

54

5

.

56

6

.

59

7

.

61

8

.

67

2007

·
2007 -2006

(856)

·

(0,0 5_{≥ α})

·

(0,0 5_{≥ α})

·

(0,0 5_{≥ α})

·

ABSTRACT

Degree of Environmental awareness Students Among Mutah University

By:

Nadia Mohammad Saqar

Mutah University, 2007

The aim of this study was to investigate the attitudes of Mutah University Degree of Environmental awareness of Students.

To achieve this aim, the sample of the study was selected from Mutah University students. The number of there selected sample was (856) female and male students. After the completion of data collection, descriptive techniques were used to obtain means and standard statistical deviations for Degree of Environmental awareness of Students. Then, the ANOVA analysis was used to identify the impact of the Degree of Environmental awareness and between gender. The results of the study indicated that there were statistically significant differences at ($\alpha \geq 0,05$) attributed to the Gender variable, in favor of female. The results of this study indicated no statistically significant differences at ($\alpha \geq 0,05$) attributed to the specialization.

Finally, the results of the study indicated that there were statistically significant differences at ($\alpha \geq 0,05$) attributed to the place of residence variable in favor of city.

: 1:1

.

.

()

)

.(2000

.

)

.(1994

.(2000)

. (1986)

. (1998)

.

. (1995) "

"

.

.

.

)

. (2003

.

. (2000)

:

:

-1

:

()

)

(

.

:

-2

.

(2002)

.

:

-3

.

)

. (2006

:

2.1

.

.

.

:

-1

-2

()

-3

()

: 3.1

:

-1

.

-2

.

-3

-4

4.1

/2006

2007

5.1

2007 /2006

.
 : **1.2**
 : **1.1.2**
 " :

.(1990)

. (1986)

)

. (2005

(1986)

:

:

.

:

.

:

.

(1994) :
" "

: (وكذلك مكنا ليوسف في الأرض يتبوا منها حيث

يشاء) (: 56) .

(1995) .

.

2.1.2 :

(1998)

:

(Living) :

Consumers : -1

(Herbivores)

.(Carnivores)

Producers : -2

(Autotrophic)

Decom Posers : -3

.

.

:

:

. (1998)
:

. (1993)

.

.(1986)

. (1983)
3.1.2

.

. (Newhouse, 1990)

:

:

:

:

. (2000)

.
()
(1999) ()
:
:
:
:
-1
:
:
-2
:
:
-3

.(2000)

: 4.1.2

.(2001)

)

:(2005

: .1

.

: .2

.

: .3

.

: .4

.

: .5

.

:

-1

()

.



.(2002)

5.1.2 :

.

.(2000)

)

.(2002

)

.(1992

%90

.(2000)

:

.(1992)

2.2

. (1991)

:

.

.(1999)

:
: **1.2.2**

. (1994)

)

. (2002

)

(%75)

. (1994

.)

:(1994

.1

.2

.3

.4

.

.

.

.

:

:

.

: (1992)

.

.

.

.

-

-

-

-

-

.

.

:

(2001) .

(2002) :

:

-

90 % .

15 %

1980

.

:

-

·
-
:
·

.(1976)

.(1992)

:

:

.1

.2

·

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

.7

.

.8

.

.9

.(2002)

.

.(1999)

.(2001)

.(1999)

: **2.2.2**

.

.(2000)

)

. (1996

:

3.2.2

. (2000)

)

. (1995

:
 . (1994)
 : **4.2.2**

.
 (1984)

.(1987)

(25-22)
 . (3-2)

(O) (O2)
 .(O3)

.(1995)
 (CFCS) 1920

:

.(Seddon, 1990)

. (Melanema)

.(Seddon, 1990)

1987 . " " (CFCS) 1999 %50 (CFCS)

2000

.(2001)

%40 1992 (CFCS)

.

(Halogens)

.(1995)

1997

.

.(2001)

:

-1

.(CFCS)

-2

—

—

.

.(1995)

: **5.2.2**

. (2002)

: (2001)
.1

.
.2

.(2005)

:

. (2004)

.(2005)

. (2004)

: 3.2

: 1.3.2

(1988)

(1417)

(1992)

)

(

(550)

:

.

(1992)

(1188)

.

(38)

.

(1995)

(43)

1996-1995

(276)

.

(1997)

(65)

:

(250)

. ()
(1997)

(153)

(2000)

(38)

(113)

(83)

(196)

.

.

(2002)

(617)

:

:

.

(2004)

(160)

(2000-1990)

(1000)

:

% 77.6 .

%78.7

% 82.5

.

(2005)

2.3.2

(Mosothwane,1991)

(112)

.

(Liou, 1993)

(993)

:

(1992)

.

(Dresner& Gill,1994)

:

(Ahalawat,Billeh,Akasheh &

Shahbaz, 1994)

(5762)

(153)

(30)

:

- %11)

. (%85

(Palmberg & Kuru, 2005)

(175)

.

(Arcury & Johnson,2006)

(680)

:

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

1:3

(14650)

2006/2007

:

2:3

2007/2006

(900)

(44)

.

(1)

(1)

<hr/>	
<hr/>	
%49,95	427
%50,05	429
%100	856
%40	343
%60	513
%100	856
%39.2	335
%46.1	395
%14.7	126
%100	856
<hr/>	

(427)	(1)		
(1)	(429)		
	(343)		
(1)		(513)	
	(335)		
		(395)	
		.	(126)
			3:3

(44)

:

17 13,15 9 5 1) : -1
 .(38 37 34 33 29 25 21
 10 6 2) : -2
 .(44 42 30 26 22 18 14
 31 27 23 19 11 7 3) : -3
 .(40 35
 20 16 12 8 4) : -4
 .(43 39,41 36 32 28 24

(44)

) (3) (4) (5) :

(1) (2

(4) (3) (2) (1) :

.(5)

.(44) (220)

:

:

-

(1992) (Liou, 1993)

.(2002)

-

.

(7)

-

.

:

(32)

(Test- Re- Test)

(.73)

"

"

.(1998)

. (2)

(2)

<hr/>	
<hr/>	
.71	
.70	
.69	
.72	
.73	()
<hr/>	

4:3

:

.	()	:	- 1
.	()	:	- 2
.	()	:	-3

—

.

5:3

(SPSS)

.

:

- 1

- 2

()

- 3

()

ANOVA

:

.

" "

(Chaffee)

.

:

(3)

(3)

429 =		427 =	
5.67	56.38	5.42	53.26
5.33	44.26	5.05	41.89
4.49	39.31	4.45	37.01
4.61	41.27	6.52	38.24
14.74	181.36	17.29	170.50

(3)

"

"

(53.26)

.(56.38)

(3)

"

"

.(44.26)

(41.89)

(3)

"

"

(37.01)

.(39.31)

(3)

" "

(38.24)

.(41.27)

(3)

" "

.(181.36)

(170.50)

(4)

.

(4)

()			
0.000	67.66	257308.4	1
		8345.2	854
		-	855
0.000	54.104	158384.6	1
		1200.79	854
		-	855
0.000	56.29	124621.1	1
		1128.09	854
		-	855
0.000	61.972	135238.64	1
		1975.74	854
		-	855
0.000	100.46	264949.33	1
		25228.67	854
		-	855

(4)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(67.66)

" "

(0.000)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

()

.

(3)

(4)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(54.104)

" "

(0.000)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

()

(3)

.

(4)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(56.29)

" "

(0.000)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(3)

()

.

(4)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(61.972)

" "

(0.000)

$(0,05 \geq \alpha)$
 ()
 . (3)
 (4)
 $(0,05 \geq \alpha)$
 (100.46) " "
 (0.000)
 $(0,05 \geq \alpha)$
 ()
 . (3)
 :
 ()
 ()
 .(5)

(5)

.

513 =

343 =

5.95	53.67	5.00	56.55
5.34	42.61	3.90	43.76
4.43	37.55	4.74	39.07
6.30	38.08	4.91	40.78

(5)

"

"

(56.55)

.(53.67)

(5)

"

"

(43.76)

.(42.61)

(5)

"

"

(39.07)

.(37.55)

(5)

"

"

(40.78)

.(38.08)

"

"

(180.32)

.(173.01)

(6)

.

(6)

()			
0.000	54.41	249769.92	1
		1702.06	854
		-	855
0.001	11.713	153405.07	1
		272.67	854
		-	855
0.000	22.686	12079.42	1
		472.42	854
		-	855
0.000	17.785	131182.0	1
		595.735	854
		-	855
0.000	41.028	2564248.6	1
		10987.11	854

(6)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(54.41)

" "

(0.000)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

()

.

(5)

	(6)	
	(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)	
(11.713)	" "	
	(0.001)	
	(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)	
()	
	(5)	
	.	
	(6)	
	(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)	
(22.686)	" "	
	(0.000)	
	(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)	
	()
.		(5)
	(6)	
	(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)	
(17.785)	" "	
	(0.000)	
	(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)	
()	
.	(5)	
	(6)	
	(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)	
(41.028)	" "	

				(0.000)	
				(0,05 ≥ α)	
		()		
.				(5)	
				:	
		()		
		()		
.(7)					
				(7)	
.					
335 =		395 =		126 =	
7.41	59.34	4.29	53.84	5.79	54.29
4.29	44.28	4.33	42.83	5.53	42.91
3.88	42.49	4.01	37.57	4.65	37.22
6.36	42.02	5.63	39.0	5.68	39.80
18.71	188.14	14.70	173.31	16.27	174.45
				(7)	
"					
					"

	(53.84)			(54.29)
			.(59.34)	
			(7)	
"		"		
(42.91)				
	(42.83)			
			.(44.28)	
			(7)	
"	"			
(37.22)				
	(37.57)			
				.(42.49)
		(7)		
"	"			
(39.80)				
	(39.0)			
			.(42.02)	
		(7)		
"	"			
(174.45)				
	(173.31)			
			.(188.14)	
.				
			(8)	

.()

(8)

.

()			
0.000	51.198	208497.08	2
		1522.83	853
		-	855
0.010	4.63	125689.19	2
		53772.59	853
		-	855
0.000	76.964	102273.88	2
		1394.29	853
		-	855
0.000	13.122	108537.75	2
		4358.20	853
		-	855
0.000	43.58	2134851.75	2
		11110.356	853
		-	855

(8)

(0,05 ≥ α)

(51.198)

" "

(0.000)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

()

(Chaffee)

.

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(4.63) " "

(0.010)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

()

(Chaffee)

.

(8)

" (0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(76.964) "

(0.000)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(Chaffee) ()

.

(8)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(13.122)

" "

(0.000)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

()

(Chaffee)

.

(8)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

(43.58)

" "

(0.000)

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

()

(Chaffee)

.

:

1:5

:

(0,05 $\geq \alpha$)

.

.

.

.

.

(Arcury &

. Johnson,2006)

(2000)

(1992)

. (Ahalawat,Billeh,Akashah & Shahbaz, 1994)

:

()

($0,05 \geq \alpha$)

(Palmberg & Kuru, 2005)

(1992)

(1992)

(1987)

.(2000)

(1997)

\vdots
 (\quad)

$(0,05 \geq \alpha)$
 (\quad)

.

.

.

.

.

.(2002)
 (Palmberg & Kuru, 2005)

.	.
:	2: 5
:	
	-1
.	
	-2
.	
	-3
.	
	-4
.	

. (2006) .
 . 32-24 (2) (34) .
 : . (1998) .
 .
 .(1983) .
 : .
 . (2005) .
 .
 : . (2000) .
 .
 . (1998) .
 .
 .
 .(1994) .
 : .
) .(1995) .
 .39-32 (2) (.
 . (1997) .
 .58-33 (45)
 .(2005) .
 .54-36 (13) .

4
 2000
 22-15
 (2000)
 (2001)
 (1992)
 (1994)
 (1991)
 (2) 1991
 98-90
 (1988)
 40-21
 (2003)
 (1996)
 2
 (1992)

. (2000) .

. 89-80 .(1) (12)

. (1998) .

. 80-58 (14) 1998 .

.(1999).

. (1986) .

.(1976).

.(2000).

. (2002) .

.(2004) .

.52-24ص (13) 2004

.(1995) .

.(2004) .

. عمان: الأردن .

. (1986) .

. 56-53 ص (37) 1986

- .(1993) .
- .
- . 127 -47 (48)
- : . (1995) .
- .
- .(1992) .
- .
- . 341-301 (19)
- .(2002).
- .
- . (1997) .
- .
- .(1995) .
- .
- . (1987) .
- .19-18 29 : . (1990) .
- :
-
- Ahalawat,K; Billeh, V; Akasheh, T; & Shahbaz, M . (1994). National Survey of Environmental Knowledge and Wareness of 8th and 10th Grade Students in **Jordan, National Center for Education Research and Development, Publication Series No, (20), 1-54 .**
- Arcury,T,A & Johnson,T.P (2006). Public Environmental Knowledge: Statewide Survey. **Journal of Environmental Education**, 18 (14): 31-37.

- Dresner, M. & Gill, Mary (1994). Environmental education at summer.Nature camp. **Journal of Environmental Education**. Spring, 25 Issue 3,p35,p7, 2 chrt .
- Newhouse, N. (1990). Implications of attitude and behavior research for environmental conservation. **Journal of Environmental Education**, 22, (1), 8-16.
- Palmberg, E.& Kuru, Jari (2005) . Activities as a Basis for Environmental Responsibility. **Journal of Environmental Education**; Summer2000, Vol. 31 Issue 4, p32, 5p.
- Liou, Jenn,C. (1993). Environmental Knowledge Attitudes, Behavioral Intonation, and Behavior of brese Dissertation rice Elementary Teachers in Taiwan, the Republic of China (Doctoral Dissertation, University of Florida 1992). **Dissertation Abstracts service, No AAC 9314264 .**
- Mosothwane, Modes.(1991) Assessment of Bostwana Preservice Teacher's Environmental Education and Concerns for Environmental Quality. **Dissertation Abstract International**. Vol, 52, No.6-11 .
- Seddon, George (1990). Problems in Environmental Education: Australian Case. **Environmental Education and Information**, 4 (1),2-5.

الملحق (أ)

						.1
						.2
						.3
						.4
						.5
						.6
						.7
						.8
						.9
						.10
						.11
						.12
						.13
						.14
						.15

						.16
						.17
						.18
						.19
						.20
						.21
						.22
						.23
						.24
						.25
						.26
						.27
						.28
						.29
						.30
						.31

						.32
						.33
						.34
						.35
						.36
						.37
						.38
						.39
						.40
						.41
						.42
						.43
						.44

نادية محمد مفلح الصقار

كلية العلوم التربويه – قسم الإرشاد والتربية الخاصة

تخصص علم نفس تربوي لعام 2006 / 2007

ص . ب (1831) الزرقاء

الهاتف الأرضي 05/3855590

الهاتف النقال 0777/464248

البريد الإلكتروني

malak_lutey@yahoo.com